1	COURT CODE:			
2	☐ DISTRICT COURT ☐ JUSTICE COURT IN THE TOWNSHIP OF COUNTY, NEVADA			
4				
5	A 1		CASE NO.:	
6	Applicant, vs.		DEPT:	
7				
8	Adverse Party.			
9			CATION FOR	
10	ORDER DENYING APPLICATION FOR HIGH-RISK PROTECTION ORDER			
11				
12	A hearing on this matter was held on The Adverse Party □ was			
13	or $\square$ was not present $\square$ was represented by counsel,, and the			
	Applicant □ was or □ was not present □ was represented by counsel,			
14	Based on the Application for and Declaration in Support of a High-Risk Protection Order,			
15	filed on, the Court cannot find by $\square$ a preponderance of the evidence (for			
16	issuance of an ex parte order) or $\square$ clear and convincing evidence (for issuance of an extended			
17	order) that:			
18	☐ The Adve	rse Party poses a risk of causing p	ersonal injury to himself or herself or	
19	another person by possessing, having under his control, purchasing, or otherwise			
20	acquiring	a firearm.		
21	☐ The condu	act alleged to have been committe	d by the Adverse Party falls within the	
22	definition of "high-risk behavior" as defined in NRS 33.550.			
23	☐ Less restrictive options have been exhausted or proven to be ineffective.			
	IT IS SO ORDERED:			
24	Dotada			
25	Dated:			
26			Judge	
27				

© 2020 Nevada Supreme Court

28