NRCP 6 – Proposed 1 (Adopt federal rule with edits) $\mathbf{2}$ 3 Rule 6. Computing and Extending Time; Time for Motion Papers 4 (a) **Computing Time**. The following rules apply in computing any time $\mathbf{5}$ period specified in these rules, in any local rule or court order, or in any statute 6 that does not specify a method of computing time. 7 (1) **Period Stated in Days or a Longer Unit**. When the period is 8 stated in days or a longer unit of time: 9 (A) exclude the day of the event that triggers the period; 10(B) count every day, including intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays; and 11 12(C) include the last day of the period, but if the last day is a 13Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the period continues to run until the end of the 14next day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. 15(2) **Period Stated in Hours**. When the period is stated in hours: 16(A) begin counting immediately on the occurrence of the event 17that triggers the period; 18(B) count every hour, including hours during intermediate 19Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays; and 20(C) if the period would end on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal 21holiday, the period continues to run until the same time on the next day that is not 22a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. 23(3) Inaccessibility of the Clerk's Office. Unless the court orders 24otherwise, if the clerk's office is inaccessible:

(A) on the last day for filing under Rule 6(a)(1), then the time for 1 $\mathbf{2}$ filing is extended to the first accessible day that is not a Saturday, Sunday, or legal 3 holiday; or (B) during the last hour for filing under Rule 6(a)(2), then the 4 $\mathbf{5}$ time for filing is extended to the same time on the first accessible day that is not a 6 Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday. 7 (4) "Last Day" Defined. Unless a different time is set by a statute, 8 local rule, or court order, the last day ends: (A) for electronic filing under the Nevada Electronic Filing and 9 Conversion Rules, at 11:59 p.m. in the court's local time; and 1011 (B) for filing by other means, when the clerk's office is scheduled 12to close. (5) "Next Day" Defined. The "next day" is determined by continuing 1314to count forward when the period is measured after an event and backward when 15measured before an event. (6) "Legal Holiday" Defined. "Legal holiday" means any day set 1617aside as a legal holiday by NRS 236.015. 18(b) Extending Time. 19(1) In General. When an act may or must be done within a specified 20time: 21(A) the parties may obtain an extension of time by stipulation if 22approved by the court, provided that the stipulation is submitted to the court before 23the original time or its extension expires; or 24(B) the court may, for good cause, extend the time:

1	(1) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a
2	request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or
3	(2) on motion made after the time has expired if the party
4	failed to act because of excusable neglect.
5	(2) Exceptions . A court must not extend the time to act under NRCP
6	50(b) and (c)(2), 52(b), 59(b), (d), and (e), and 60(b), and must not extend the time
7	after it has expired under NRCP 54(d).
8	(c) Motions, Notices of Hearing, and Affidavits.
9	(1) In General. A written motion and notice of the hearing must be
10	served at least 21 days before the time specified for the hearing, with the following
11	exceptions:
12	(A) when the motion may be heard ex parte;
13	(B) when these rules or the local rules provide otherwise; or
14	(C) when a court order—which a party may, for good cause, apply
15	for ex parte—sets a different time.
16	(2) Supporting Affidavit . Any affidavit supporting a motion must be
17	served with the motion. Except as Rule 59(c) provides otherwise, any opposing
18	affidavit must be served at least 7 days before the hearing, unless the court permits
19	service at another time.
20	(d) Additional Time After Certain Kinds of Service. When a party may
21	or must act within a specified time after being served and service is made under
22	Rule 5(b)(2)(C) (mail), (D) (leaving with the clerk), or (F) (other means consented
23	to), 3 days are added after the period would otherwise expire under Rule 6(a).
24	

-3-

ADVISORY COMMITTEE NOTE-2017 AMENDMENT

The federal time calculations in FRCP 6(a) have been adopted for time calculations in Nevada. The time-computation provisions apply only when a time period must be computed, not when a fixed time to act is set. NRCP 6(a)(1) addresses the computation of time periods stated in days, weeks, months, or years. The directive to "count every day" is relevant only if the period is stated in days (not weeks, months or years).

Under NRCP 6(a)(1), all deadlines stated in days are computed in the same way. To compensate for the shortening of time periods previously expressed as less than 11 days by the directive to count intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays, many of those periods have been lengthened. In general, periods of time of 5 days or less were lengthened to 7 days, and periods of time between 6 and 15 days were set to 14 days. Time periods of 16 to 20 days were set to 21 days, and periods longer than 30 days were retained without change. The use of 7, 14, and 21-day periods enables "day-of-the-week" counting; for example, if a motion was filed and served on Wednesday with 7 days to respond, the opposition would be due the following Wednesday, absent the application of rules providing for additional time to respond.

NRCP 6(a)(2) addresses the computation of time periods that are stated in
hours. No such deadline currently appears in the NRCP, but some statutes contain
deadlines stated in hours, as do some court orders issued in expedited proceedings.
NRCP 6(a)(6) is different from the federal rule and reflects Nevada's state holidays
specified in NRS 236.015. Statutory and rule-based timelines subject to this rule
may not be changed concurrently with this rule. If a reduction in the times to

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

respond under those statutes and rules results, an extension of time may be 1 $\mathbf{2}$ warranted to prevent prejudice.

NRCP 6(b) adopts the federal rule, with modifications. The parties' ability to stipulate to an extension of time, subject to court order, has been retained from the existing NRCP 6(b). The requirement that a district court may, in its discretion, extend the time to act for good cause has been adopted from the federal rule. The prior NRCP 6(b) provided that the court could extend the time to act for cause; this for cause and the only other for cause in the prior NRCP 33 have been eliminated in favor of good cause. If another rule provides for a method of extending time, the court or the parties may extend the time to act as provided in that rule.

NRCP 6(c), formerly NRCP 6(d), is conformed to FRCP 6(c), with reference to Nevada's local rules. The local rules govern motion practice in general and may provide, for example, larger periods of time in which to file motions, specific procedures governing motion practice, or procedures to request a hearing or to submit a motion without a hearing.

The 3 days provided in NRCP 6(d), formerly NRCP 6(e), are added after calculating the time to act in NRCP 6(a). The NRCP and the local rules previously provided for an additional 3 days to act after electronic service, while the NRAP did not. The advisory committee has confirmed with the Second and Eighth Judicial Court Clerks that their efiling systems are capable of instantaneous service upon submission by the filing party of the document to the system and are prepared to make that change; although the clerk retains the prerogative of reviewing a submitted document and rejecting it for filing if it violates the court rules, see $\mathbf{24}$ WDCR 10(10), this process should not delay simultaneous submission and service

3

4

5

6

 $\overline{7}$

8

9

10

11

of a document. If the clerk rejects an electronically submitted document, the clerk
 must promptly notify all parties.

Eliminating the 3 days for documents submitted electronically brings the
NRCP into conformity with the NRAP and the 2016 amendments to the FRCP.
This change will require revision of Part VIII of the Eighth Judicial District Court
Rules and, in all probability, the rules governing hearing dates in notices of motion.
As the advisory committee notes to the FRCP note, the FRCP were amended

in 2001 to provide for service by electronic means. Although electronic transmission seemed virtually instantaneous even then, electronic service was included in the modes of service that allow 3 added days to act after being served. There were concerns that the transmission might be delayed for some time, and particular concerns that incompatible systems might make it difficult or impossible to open attachments. These concerns have been substantially alleviated by advances in technology and in widespread skill in using electronic transmission.

Diminution of the concerns that prompted the decision to allow the 3 added days for electronic transmission is not the only reason for discarding this indulgence. Many rules have been changed to ease the task of computing time by adopting the 7-, 14-, 21-, and 28-day periods that allow 'day-of-theweek' counting. Adding 3 days at the end complicated the counting, and increased the occasions for further complication by invoking the provisions that apply when the last day is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.

These comments apply equally to the 2018 revisions proposed to the NRCP. Eliminating the 3 additional days for e-filing service and requiring the clerks to calibrate their systems to provide for simultaneous submission and service, even in advance of filing, also avoids unnecessary delay while documents "sit in the queue."

 $\frac{23}{24}$

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The work of amending the EDCR is beyond the scope of this committee's work. Revisions to the NEFCR to bring them into harmony with the proposed elimination of 3 days for e-service are proposed with this submission.

NRCP 6(a)

1

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4 (1)The counting method in FRCP 6 was kept in its entirety, except for the 5 last day section, which references electronic filing, and the holiday section, which was edited to reflect Nevada holidays. The adoption of this counting method 6 7 applies to statutory deadlines and will shorten the time to perform certain acts in 8 statute, potentially to the prejudice of the parties. The first opportunity to change 9 the times to act in statutes, however, will not be until the next legislative session, 10 and it is unclear if the Legislature will comprehensively change the statutory 11 timelines to correspond with the altered method of computing time. Similarly, the 12district court rules, local rules, and the NJCRCP may not be changed 13contemporaneously with the NRCP. The current draft rule provides guidance in the Advisory Committee Note that extensions of time in such situations may be 1415warranted to prevent prejudice.

16NRCP 6(b)

17(2)The existing NRCP permits extending time by stipulation filed with the 18court. The FRCP does not have this provision. The existing NRCP provision was 19added into the federal rule. The existing NRCP permits extending time for cause 20by the court. The FRCP permits extending time for good cause. The existing NRCP provision was retained. The existing NRCP says that the court "may at any 21time in its discretion" extend the time. This is not in the federal rule and appears to be redundant, and was eliminated.

(3) The existing NRCP states after the text of NRCP 6(b)(3) "except under
 the conditions stated in those rules." However, there do not appear to be any
 conditions in those rules permitting the extension of time, so this was deleted.
 NRCP 54(d) was added to NRCP 6(b)(3) as the time in NRCP 54(d)(2)(B) may not
 be extended after it has expired.

6 <u>NRCP 6(c)</u>

(4) NRCP 6(c) generated substantial debate and competing proposals. The
debate grows out of the fact that each of the judicial districts has its own local rules
governing motion hearing and briefing practice, with DCR 13 adding more variety
to the mix. While uniform rules governing hearing and briefing on motions might
be helpful, local practices vary according to the unique needs and histories of the
local district courts involved. Amending the local rules and DCR to provide a single
statewide standard exceeds this committee's charter and could delay its work.
Therefore, the subcommittee recommends the NRCP 6(c) attached, with one
question open: Should motions be required to be filed not later than 14 or 21 days
before a hearing, and should responding affidavits be due 7 or 14 days before a

Despite the local variations, there is value in adopting a version of NRCP 6(c) because it sets presumptive minimum limits on how close lawyers can get to a hearing with a motion and the affidavits offered to support or oppose the motion. The idea is to establish a soft norm with deviations permitted by local rule or court order. Attached for purposes of preserving work product and showing how an integrated motion hearing and briefing rule might look is an alternative NRCP

6(c), though, for the reasons noted above, the subcommittee does not recommend 1 $\mathbf{2}$ forwarding this version to the supreme court. 3 (5)In NRCP 6(c)(1)(B), a provision is added in enabling the district court to, by rule, alter the time period. 4 NRCP 6(d) $\mathbf{5}$ The federal rule was retained, which does not allow for an additional 3 (6) 6 7 days after electronic service. The federal courts changed this rule in 2016, for the reasons stated in the advisory note. 8 9 10 11 121314151617181920212223 $\mathbf{24}$ -10-